Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49291 City of Seminole RN101376978 Docket No. 2014-1313-PWS-E

Order Type:

Findings Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

Three or more enforcement actions (NOVs, orders, etc.) over the prior five year period for the same violation(s).

Media:

PWS

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

City of Seminole, 101 East Avenue A, Seminole, Gaines County

Type of Operation:

Public water supply

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: December 11, 2015

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$1,500

Amount Deferred for Naturally Occurring Organic Contaminants: \$1,500

Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$0 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory

Site/RN - N/A

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A **Applicable Penalty Policy:** April 2014

$Investigation\ Information$

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: August 12, 2014 through August 22, 2014

Date(s) of NOE(s): August 22, 2014

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49291 City of Seminole RN101376978 Docket No. 2014-1313-PWS-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.010 milligrams per liter ("mg/L") for arsenic, based on the running annual average [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.106(f)(3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c)].
- 2. Failed to comply with the MCL of 4 mg/L for fluoride, based on the running annual average [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.106(f)(3) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

- 1. The Order will require the Respondent to:
- a. Within 365 days:
- i. Return to compliance with the MCL for arsenic, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.106; and
- ii. Return to compliance with the MCL for fluoride, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.106.
- b. Within 380 days, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with a.i. and a.ii.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A

Hearing Date(s): N/A **Settlement Date:** N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49291 City of Seminole RN101376978 Docket No. 2014-1313-PWS-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Jim Fisher, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 2, MC 169, (512) 239-2537; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division, MC 219,

(512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: The Honorable Wayne Mixon, Mayor, City of Seminole, 302 South Main

Street, Seminole, Texas 79360

Respondent's Attorney: Mr. Kinnan Goleman, KG Strategies, LLC, 408 West 14th

Street Austin, Texas 78701

\$1,500

	H	enalty Calc	culation \	worksnee	t (PCW)		
Policy Revision 4 (A	April 2014)					PCW Revision March	26, 2014
<u>rceo</u>	el se v. so.						
DATES Assigned PCW	25-Aug-2014 5-Oct-2015		-San-2014	EPA Due 30-Se	2000		
			-Sep-2014-1	LFA Due 10013e	:P:20021		han an eine an
RESPONDENT/FACIL	ITY INFORMA	TION					
	City of Semino						
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.							
Facility/Site Region	7-Midland			Major/Minor	Source Major		
							51.50.0
CASE INFORMATION	[40004			No of Vio	Intiana 2		ľ
Enf./Case ID No.	. 49291 . 2014-1313-PV	NC E		No. of Vio	er Type Findings		
Media Program(s)			G/	overnment/Nor			ĺ
Multi-Media		in bbil			dinator Jim Fisher		
Parti Picara	' <u> </u>				s Team Enforceme	************	
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimur	m \$50 Ma :	ximum s	1,000	<u> </u>	-	
	etartatet et europe annet et eiler trateil et de la de l	July and the second sec					***************************************
		Penalty	Calculation	n Section			
TOTAL DACE BEN	NITY (Com						¢1 200
TOTAL BASE PENA	ALIY (SUM)	or violation das	se penaities)	Subtota	# 1 <u> </u>	\$1,200
ADJUSTMENTS (+	-/-) TO SUR	TOTAL 1					
		ring the Total Base Penal	lty (Subtotal 1) by t	he indicated percenta	ige.		446440000000000000000000000000000000000
Compliance H	istory		25.0% Ent	nancement	Subtotals 2, 3, 8	§ 7	\$300
	61 341				: 1		
Notes	Enhance	ement for five NOVs	s with the same,	similar violations	5.		
Culpability	No		0.0% Ent	nancement	Subtota	il 4	\$0
Notes	The	Respondent does no	ot meet the culp	oability criteria.			
		······································					
Good Faith Eff	fort to Comply	· Total Adjustment	łe		Subtota	d 5	\$0
OUG FUILIFE	ore to compri	, oto, nojesemen	-		<i></i>	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Economic Ben	MM		0.0% Enha		Subtota	ıl 6	\$0
Ectimate	Total EB Amount d Cost of Compliance		*Capped at tl	he Total EB \$ Amount	•		
Lottinate	1 Cost of Compilation	.e[\$30,000]					
SUM OF SUBTOTA	LS 1-7				Final Subto	tal	\$1,500
OTHER FACTORS	AS JUSTICE	MAY REQUIRE		0.0%	Adjustme	ent	\$0
Reduces or enhances the Fina			L			37070	
					The state of the s		
Notes							
		en de la companya de					
				Fi	nal Penalty Amoເ	ınt [\$1,500
		<u> </u>		<u>11</u> 0		u	*4 500
STATUTORY LIMI	I ADJUSIMI	SNI		Fin.	al Assessed Pena	/EY	\$1,500
NECEDOA!				0.00/			\$0
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Pe	enalty by the indica	ted percentage (Enter	number only: e.c. 3		iction Adjustme)	:nt	ΨU
Neduces the rinar Assessed Pe	Lindicy by the maica	cca percentage. (Litter I	nomber only, e.g. Z	S TOT 20 70 TEUGEROIT.			
Notes	No	o deferral is recomm	nended for Findi	ngs Orders.			

PAYABLE PENALTY

Docket No. 2014-1313-PWS-E

PCW

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent City of Seminole

Case ID No. 49291

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101376978

Media [Statute] Public Water Supply

Enf. Coordinator Jim Fisher

Component	ry Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	error transfer and the second to the	25%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audite	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
Privile	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	ease Enter Yes or No	r
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	rcentage (Sub	total 2
eat Violator (
N/A	Adjustment Per	rcentage (Sub	total 3
pliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactory I	Performer Adjustment Per	rcentage (Sub	total 7
pliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for five NOVs with the same/similar violations.		
Bernetitisker of the established (1888)	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2,	3, & 7,
Camulianea L	listory Adjustment		

Screening Date		Docket No. 2014-1313-PWS-E	PCW
unte e como de compresenció de los seculos de la como de desprése de Tribble de la finitación de contrator de	City of Seminole		Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.			PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number	1		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admir	i. Code § 290.106(f)(3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c)	
Violation Description		h the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.010 millig or arsenic, based on the running annual average, as show the attached violation table.	
		Base Pe	s1,000
>> Environmental, Proper			
Release		derate Minor	
OR Actual			
Potential		Percent 30.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	Major Mo	derate Minor Percent 0.0%	
<u> </u>	tara da		
		sed the customers of the Facility to be exposed to a significh do not exceed levels protective of human health.	cant
<u> </u>			
		Adjustment	\$700
			\$300
Valaba Boak			
Violation Events			
Number of \	iolation Events	3 Number of violation days	
1	daily		
	weekly		
mark only one	monthly		- L
with an x	quarterly semiannual	Violation Base Pe	nalty \$900
	annual	<u>x</u>	
	single event		
The state of the s	nree annual events a	re recommended (one event for each entry point).	
Laterminisminismi			
Good Faith Efforts to Comp		0.0% Redu NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	ction \$0
	Extraordinary	NOL/NOV NOL/NOV to EDFRF/Settlement Offer	
	Ordinary		
	N/A	x (mark with x)	
	Notes The I	Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
		Violation Sub	total \$900
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit Tes	
	ed EB Amount	\$13,147 Violation Final Penalty	
Localida	5		
	Т	his violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lin	nits) \$1,125

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	City of Semino	ole		9,80,000,880,900			
Case ID No.	49291						
lea. Ent. Reference No.	RN101376978	3					
Media	Public Water 9	Supply					Years of
Violation No.		. ,				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Tiolation ito	-						
						5.0	15
		Date Required	Final Date	TIS	Interest Saveo	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$50,000	31-Mar-2014	31-Dec-2017	3.76	\$626	\$12,521	\$13,147
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	necessary cor	rective actions to	return to comp	liance v	vith the MCL for a	stigate, identify and rsenic and fluoride, lated date of compli	calculated from
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterir	ig item (except	for one-time avoid	ded costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$50,000			TOTAL		\$13,147

	City of Seminole	Docket No. 2014-1313-PWS-E	PCW Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media [Statute] Enf. Coordinator Violation Number	RN101376978 Public Water Supply		PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.106(f)	(3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 34	1.0315(c)
Violation Description		4 mg/L for fluoride based on the running in the attached violation table.	g annual
		Base	e Penalty \$1,000
>> Environmental, Prope	ty and Human Health Matr Harm	ìx	
OR Release OR Actual Potential		Percent 30.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	Major Moderate Mir	Percent 0.0%	
		ers of the Facility to be exposed to a sign eed levels protective of human health.	nificant
		Adjustment	\$700
			\$300
Violation Events			
Number of	iolation Events 1	Number of violation of	days
mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	Violation Base	e Penalty \$300
	One annual event	is recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com			Reduction \$0
	Before NOE/NOV NOE/NO Extraordinary Cordinary	OV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	N/A x (mark w	oith x) es not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
	Ikausianan anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-anti-	Violation	Subtotal \$300
Economic Benefit (EB) for	his violation	Statutory Limit	
	d EB Amount	\$0 Violation Final Pena	
Estimati		Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	-
	ooutron	The state of the s	49/3

Media Violation No.	Public Water S	Supply				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Fiolation 140.	_					5.0	1.
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs				_			
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs		11		l 0.00 l	*A		40
011		 			\$0	n/a	<u>\$0</u>
Other (as needed)		The delayed cos	its are included	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANNUAL			0.00	\$0 Economic Benefit g item (except 1	n/a for Violation No. 1. for one-time avoid	\$0 led costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs	ANNUAL			0.00 in the enterin	\$0 Economic Benefit g item (except 1 \$0	n/a for Violation No. 1. for one-time avoid \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL			0.00 in the enterin 0.00 0.00	\$0 Economic Benefit g item (except 1 \$0 \$0	n/a for Violation No. 1. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL			0.00 in the enterin 0.00 0.00	\$0 Economic Benefit g item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a for Violation No. 1. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	ANNUAL			0.00 in the enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 Economic Benefit g item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a for Violation No. 1. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANNUAL			0.00 in the enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 Economic Benefit g item (except to \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a for Violation No. 1. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 SO SO SO SO SO SO SO SO
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	ANNUAL			0.00 in the enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 Economic Benefit g item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a for Violation No. 1. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

City of Seminole Docket No. 2015-1313-PWS-E Public Water Supply ID No. 0830012

Violation Table					
	MC	Arsenic L=0.010 mg/L based	Fluoride MCL=4.0 mg/L based on RAA		
Quarters/Year	Entry Point No.1	Entry Point No.2	Entry Point No. 4	Entry Point No. 4	
1Q14	0.015	0.014	0.018	4.4	
2Q14	0.014	0.014	0.017	4.4	
3Q14	0.014	0.014	0.017	4.4	

Mg/L = milligrams per liter

RAA = Running Annual Average

MCL = Maximum Contaminant Level



EQ Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600730048, RN101376978, Rating Year 2013 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2008, through August 31, 2013.

Customer, Respondent, CN600730048, City of Seminole

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 0.20

or Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity:

RN101376978, CITY OF SEMINOLE

Classification: NOT APPLICABLE

Rating: N/A

Complexity Points:

N/A

Repeat Violator: N/A

CH Group:

14 - Other

Location:

101 E AVENUE A, SEMINOLE, GAINES COUNTY, TEXAS

TCEQ Region:

REGION 07 - MIDLAND

ID Number(s):

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM/SUPPLY REGISTRATION 0830012

WATER LICENSING LICENSE 0830012

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2008 to August 31, 2013

Rating Year: 2013

Rating Date: 09/01/2013

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: August 25, 2014

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: August 25, 2009 to August 25, 2014

TCEO Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Katie Hargrove

Phone: (512) 239-2569

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A 5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1

July 06, 2011

(936922)

Item 2

April 14, 2014

(1160150)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

1

11/07/2013 (1133950)

Self Report? NO

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 3Q2013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for arsenic during the 3rd quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 0.014 mg/L at EP001, RAA

of 0.014 mg/L at EP002, and RAA of 0.018 mg/L for EP004.

Self Report?

Classification:

Citation: Description:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C) FLU MCL 3Q2013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

fluoride during the 3rd quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 4.5 mg/L at EP004.

2 Date:

02/27/2014 (1191399) CN600730048

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

Self Report? NO

FLU MCL 4Q2013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

fluoride during the 4th quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 4.5 mg/L at EP004. Classification:

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 4Q2013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for arsenic during the 4th quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 0.014 mg/L at EP001, RAA

of 0.014 mg/L at EP002, and RAA of 0.018 mg/L at EP004.

3

Date:

03/11/2014 (1191399)

CN600730048

Moderate

Citation:

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

FLU MCL 1Q2014 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for fluoride during the 1st quarter of 2014 with a RAA of 4.4 mg/L at EP004.

Self Report?

NO

NO

Classification: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Citation: Description:

ARS MCL 1Q2014 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for arsenic during the 1st quarter of 2014 with a RAA of 0.015 mg/L at EP001, RAA

of 0.014 mg/L at EP002, and RAA of 0.018 mg/L at EP004.

4

5

Date:

03/31/2014 (1191399)

CN600730048

Classification: Moderate

Self Report? Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

FLU MCL 1Q2013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for fluoride during the 1st quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 4.6 mg/L at EP004.

Date:

Self Report?

08/18/2014 (1191399)

CN600730048 Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

FLU MCL 202014 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

fluoride during the 2nd quarter of 2014 with a RAA of 4.4 mg/L at EP004.

Self Report?

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 2Q2014 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

arsenic during the 2nd quarter of 2014 with a RAA of 0.014 mg/L at EP001, RAA

of 0.014 mg/L at EP002, and RAA of 0.017 mg/L at EP004.

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

Component Appendices

Appendix A

All NOVs Issued During Component Period 8/25/2009 and 8/25/2014

1

Date:

06/24/2011

(1133950)

CN600730048

Classification:

Classification:

Moderate

Self Report?

NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 4Q2010 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

arsenic during the 4th quarter of 2010 with a RAA of 0.020 mg/L at EP001.

2

Date:

08/18/2011

(1133950)

CN600730048

Moderate

Self Report? NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 1Q2011 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for arsenic during the 1st guarter of 2011 with a RAA of 0.018 mg/L at EP002.

Classification: Moderate

Self Report?

NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 2Q2011 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

arsenic during the 2nd quarter of 2011 with a RAA of 0.020 mg/L at EP001.

3

Date:

12/27/2011

(1133950)

CN600730048

Classification: Moderate

Self Report?

NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 3Q2011 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for arsenic during the 3rd quarter of 2011 with a RAA of 0.018 mg/L at EP001, and

RAA of 0.018 mg/L at EP002.

4

Date:

03/28/2012 (1133950) CN600730048

Moderate

Self Report?

NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 402011 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

arsenic during the 4th quarter of 2011 with a RAA of 0.018 mg/L at EP001, and

RAA of 0.018 mg/L at EP002.

5

Date:

05/04/2012

(1133950)

CN600730048

Classification:

Classification:

Classification:

Classification:

Moderate

Self Report?

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 1Q2012 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

arsenic during the 1st quarter of 2012 with a RAA of 0.019 mg/L at EP001, and

RAA of 0.019 mg/L at EP002.

6

Date:

08/14/2012

(1133950)

CN600730048

Moderate

Self Report?

NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 202012 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

arsenic during the 2nd quarter of 2012 with a RAA of 0.017 mg/L at EP001, and

RAA of 0.018 mg/L at EP002.

7*

Date:

10/23/2012

(1133950)

CN600730048

Moderate

NO Self Report?

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 3Q2012 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

arsenic during the 3rd quarter of 2012 with a RAA of 0.017 mg/L at EP001, RAA

8*

Date:

11/19/2012

(1133950)

CN600730048

Classification: Moderate

Self Report? NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 402012 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

arsenic during the 4th quarter of 2012 with a RAA of 0.017 mg/L at EP001, RAA

of 0.017 mg/L at EP002, and RAA of 0.016 mg/L for EP004.

9*

Date:

02/22/2013

(1133950)

CN600730048

Classification:

Moderate

Self Report? Citation:

For Informational Purposes Only

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 1Q2013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for arsenic during the 1st quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 0.016 mg/L at EP001, RAA

of 0.016 mg/L at EP002, and RAA of 0.020 mg/L at EP004.

10*

Date:

05/07/2013

(1133950)

CN600730048

Classification: Moderate

Self Report? NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 2Q2013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

arsenic during the 2nd quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 0.016 mg/L at EP001, RAA

of 0.016 mg/L at EP002, and RAA of 0.020 mg/L for EP004.

Classification: Moderate

Self Report?

NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

FLU MCL 202013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

fluoride during the 2nd quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 4.6 mg/L at EP004.

11

Date:

11/07/2013

(1133950)

CN600730048

Classification:

Moderate

Self Report?

For Informátional Purposes Only 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Citation: Description:

ARS MCL 3Q2013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for arsenic during the 3rd guarter of 2013 with a RAA of 0.014 mg/L at EP001, RAA

of 0.014 mg/L at EP002, and RAA of 0.018 mg/L for EP004.

Classification: Moderate

Self Report?

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

FLU MCL 3Q2013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for fluoride during the 3rd quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 4.5 mg/L at EP004.

12

Date:

02/27/2014

(1191399)

CN600730048

Classification:

Classification:

Moderate

Self Report?

NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

FLU MCL 402013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for fluoride during the 4th quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 4.5 mg/L at EP004.

Classification: Moderate

Self Report?

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 4Q2013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for arsenic during the 4th quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 0.014 mg/L at EP001, RAA

of 0.014 mg/L at EP002, and RAA of 0.018 mg/L at EP004.

13

Date:

03/11/2014

(1191399)

CN600730048

Moderate

Self Report?

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

FLU MCL 102014 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

fluoride during the 1st quarter of 2014 with a RAA of 4.4 mg/L at EP004.

Classification: Moderate

NO Self Report?

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 1Q2014 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for arsenic during the 1st quarter of 2014 with a RAA of 0.015 mg/L at EP001, RAA

of 0.014 mg/L at EP002, and RAA of 0.018 mg/L at EP004.

14

Date:

03/31/2014

(1191399)

CN600730048

Classification:

Moderate

Self Report?

NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

FLU MCL 102013 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

fluoride during the 1st quarter of 2013 with a RAA of 4.6 mg/L at EP004.

15

Date:

08/18/2014

(1191399)

CN600730048

Classification: Moderate

Self Report?

NO

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

FLU MCL 202014 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for

fluoride during the 2nd quarter of 2014 with a RAA of 4.4 mg/L at EP004.

Classification: Moderate

Self Report?

For Informational Purposes Only

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.106(f)(3)(C)

Description:

ARS MCL 202014 - The system violated the maximum contaminant level for arsenic during the 2nd quarter of 2014 with a RAA of 0.014 mg/L at EP001, RAA

of 0.014 mg/L at EP002, and RAA of 0.017 mg/L at EP004.

Appendix B

All Investigations Conducted During Component Period August 25, 2009 and August 25, 2014

Item 1*	July 06, 2011**	(936922) For Informational	Purposes	Only
Item 2	November 26, 2013	(1133950) For Informational	Purposes	Ony
Item 3*	April 14, 2014	(1160150) For Informational	Purposes	
Item 4	August 21, 2014	(1191399) For Informational	Purposes	Omy
Item 5	August 22, 2014	(1191539) For Informational	Purposes	

^{*} No violations documented during this investigation

^{*} NOVs applicable for the Compliance History rating period 9/1/2008 to 8/31/2013

^{**}Investigation applicable for the Compliance History Rating period between 09/01/2008 and 08/31/2013.

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
CITY OF SEMINOLE	§	
RN101376978	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2014-1313-PWS-E

At its	agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
("the Commission" or "To	CEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an
enforcement action regar	ding the City of Seminole (the "Respondent") under the authority of
TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY C	ODE ch. 341. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the
Enforcement Division, ar	d the Respondent, represented by Mr. Kinnan Golemon of KG
Strategies, LLC presented	I this agreement to the Commission.

The Respondent understands that it has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Agreed Order, the Respondent agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Order represents the complete and fully-integrated settlement of the parties. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Agreed Order are binding upon the Respondent.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Respondent owns and operates a public water supply located at 101 East Avenue A in Seminole, Gaines County, Texas (the "Facility") that has approximately 2,941 service

- connections and serves at least 25 people per day for at least 60 days per year. Naturally occurring arsenic and fluoride have been found in the groundwater used by the Facility.
- During a record review conducted from August 12, 2014 through August 22, 2014, TCEQ 2. staff documented the running annual average concentrations for arsenic as depicted in the violation table below.
- During a record review conducted from August 12, 2014 through August 22, 2014, TCEQ 3. staff documented the running annual average concentrations for fluoride as depicted in

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Violation Table	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Arsenic MCL=0.010 mg/L based on RAA			Fluoride MCL=4.0 mg/L based on RAA
Quarters/Year	Entry Point No.1	Entry Point No.2	Entry Point No. 4	Entry Point No. 4
1Q14	0.015	0.014	0.018	4.4
2Q14	0.014	0.014	0.017	4.4
3Q14	0.014	0.014	0.017	4.4

RAA = Running Annual Average

MCL = Maximum Contaminant Level

The Respondent received notice of the violations on August 28, 2014. 4.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to Tex. HEALTH & 1. SAFETY CODE ch. 341 and the rules of the Commission.
- As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 2, the Respondent failed to comply with the 2. maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.010 mg/L for arsenic, based on the running annual average, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.106(f)(3) and Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c).
- As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 3, the Respondent failed to comply with the MCL of 3. 4 mg/L for fluoride based on the running annual average, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.106(f)(3) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c).
- Pursuant to Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.049, the Commission has the authority to 4. assess an administrative penalty against the Respondent for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction;

for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.

5. An administrative penalty in the amount of One Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$1,500) is justified by the facts recited in this Agreed Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.049(b). The One Thousand Five Hundred Dollar (\$1,500) administrative penalty is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order and shall be waived only upon full compliance with all the terms and conditions contained in this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with any requirement contained in this Agreed Order, the deferred amount of the administrative penalty shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice, and the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred administrative penalty.

III. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

The Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of One Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$1,500) as set forth in Section II, Paragraph 5 above, for violations of TCEQ rules and state statutes. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order completely resolve the violations set forth by this Agreed Order in this action. However, the Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations that are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Seminole, Docket No. 2014-1313-PWS-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 365 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - Return to compliance with the MCL for arsenic, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.106; and
 - Return to compliance with the MCL for fluoride, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.106.

b. Within 380 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a.i. and 2.a.ii. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations." The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Public Drinking Water Section Manager Water Supply Division, MC 155 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 5. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to the Respondent if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.

- This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the
- 8. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. ORG. CODE
- The effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this
 fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
For the Commission	Date
Pannyancie J	1/22/14
For the Executive Director	Date
City of Scillilloie. I am authorized to agree to t	the attached Agreed Order in the matter of the the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the City of and conditions. I further acknowledge that the mount, is materially relying on such
the right to appeal. I agree to the terms of the	the right to formal notice of violations addressed
I also understand that failure to comply with the and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount. A negative impact on compliance history. Greater scrutiny of any permit application.	t, may result in: y; ons submitted:
 Referral of this case to the Attorney Gen- additional penalties, and/or attorney fee Increased penalties in any future enforce 	eral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, es, or to a collection agency;
Automatic referral to the Attorney General and	ral's Office of any future enforcement actions;
 TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized 1 	by law.
In addition, any falsification of any compliance of	locuments may result in criminal prosecution.
all all it	
Mague My	11-9-15
Signature	Date
Wayne Mixon (Mayor
Name (Printed or typed)	Title
Authorized Representative of	Title
City of Seminole	
Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order v Division, Revenue Operations Section at the	with penalty payment to the Financial Administration address in Section III, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.